1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 9 DANIEL C. JOHNSON. NO. C10-5090 BHS/KLS 10 Petitioner, ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STAY 11 AND HOLD PETITION IN v. **ABEYANCE** 12 RON FRAKER, 13 Respondent. 14 Before the court is Petitioner's motion to stay and hold his habeas corpus petition in 15 abeyance. Dkt. 10. Respondent filed no response to Petitioner's motion. 16 17 Mr. Johnson filed his federal habeas corpus petition challenging his state court 18 convictions on February 4, 2010. Dkt. 1. Respondent filed his response and submitted 19 relevant portions of the state court record (Dks. 8 and 9), arguing that Mr. Johnson's claims 20 should be dismissed with prejudice because they are unexhausted and would soon be 21 procedurally barred if Mr. Johnson failed to file a personal restraint petition in state court 22 before May 8, 2010. Dkt. 8. In response, Mr. Johnson filed a motion for stay and abeyance of 23 24 his federal habeas corpus petition while he pursues his state court remedies. Dkt. 10. Mr. 25 26

ORDER 1

Johnson has advised the court that he filed a personal restraint petition in the Washington Supreme Court on April 21, 2010. Dkt. 11, p. 3.

DISCUSSION

The Court may stay a petition where the stay would be a proper exercise of discretion. *Rhines v. Weber*, 125 S. Ct. 1528, 1534 (2005); *see also Fetterly v. Paskett*, 997 F.2d 1295 (9th Cir. 1993); *Calderon v. United States Dist. Court (Thomas)*, 144 F.3d 618, 620 (9th Cir. 1998); *Anthony v. Cambra*, 236 F.3d 568 (9th Cir. 2000). Respondent has filed no opposition to Mr. Johnson's request to stay his federal proceedings. The court finds that a stay of the federal proceedings is appropriate pending resolution of the pending state court proceeding. Neither party should be prejudiced by a stay of these proceedings.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Petitioner's motion for a stay (Dkt. 10) is **GRANTED** and this matter is **STAYED** pending resolution of the state court proceedings.
- (2) Petitioner shall advise the Court within thirty (30) days of receiving a final State court ruling.

DATED this 26th day of May, 2010.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER 2